

Assessment Schedule – 2013**Scholarship Geography (93401)****Evidence Statement**

Note: Material from beyond the resource booklet MUST be relevant to the question.

Question	Expectations
ONE	Impacts of the mining industry on people and places
	<p>Critical evaluation requires the weighing-up of evidence, assessing validity, and making informed judgements.</p> <p>To be successful, the candidate needs to include critical evaluation throughout – it needs to underlie and be woven through and not just be dropped in as a conclusion.</p> <p>Impacts that the mining industry has on people and places across the globe may be positive (eg employment, economic gain, etc), negative (eg environmental destruction); short- or long-term. The candidate might discuss the impacts from a focus of any of these, but should be recognising that the mining industry is NOT only negative.</p> <p>At least ONE visual (original or adapted from the resources supplied) MUST be incorporated into the answer.</p> <p>Give weight to the use of original or adapted visuals (rather than copied), but also to the way the visual relates to the answer’s content, and the way it is used to support the answer (ie “incorporated visuals”).</p> <p>Attach little weight to a direct copy of a map or graph that is not referred to in the written answer.</p>
<p>Outstanding Performance</p> <p>Performance Descriptor 1</p>	<p>8 marks</p> <p>The candidate critically evaluates the impacts of the mining industry on people and places. Covers a wide range of factors impacting on people and places. May identify the factors as positive, negative, short- or long-term, eg could be a detailed discussion on economic positives / negatives in the short-term / long-term.</p> <p>Revolves around a “critical evaluation approach”.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates specific evidence from named parts of the world. Evidence is to be drawn both from provided resource and from beyond.</p> <p>Includes appropriate and effective visuals communicated / used in a sophisticated way by integrating the information. Visuals may be adapted or original.</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sophisticated integration and abstraction • perception and insight • convincing communication.
	<p>7 marks</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 1 for 8 marks as above, <u>but</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • lacks in effective visuals, eg has a visual, but not as effective or relevant <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts or lack clarity <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not revolve around critical evaluation consistently.
<p>Scholarship</p> <p>Performance Descriptor 2</p>	<p>6 marks</p> <p>The candidate evaluates the impacts of the mining industry on people and places. Covers a wide range of factors impacting on people and places. May identify the factors as positive, negative, short- or long-term.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates specific evidence from named parts of the world.</p> <p>Includes appropriate visuals and refers to them. Visuals may be adapted or original.</p> <p>Diagram(s) in the resource may be analysed in depth.</p>

	<p>Response demonstrates ALL of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • analysis and critical thinking • integration and application of a range of ideas and perspectives • logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.
	<p>5 marks</p> <p>Response demonstrates most of the requirements of Descriptor 2 for 6 marks as above, <u>but</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts or lack clarity <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not revolve around evaluation <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not include material from the resource booklet <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not refer to appropriate visuals, or none included.
Performance Descriptor 3	<p>4 marks</p> <p>The candidate discusses the impacts of the mining industry on people and places.</p> <p>Covers some factors that impact on people and places. May identify the factors as positive, negative, short- or long-term (may only have one focus – eg negative impacts).</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence from the resource material.</p> <p>Includes visuals (these may not be relevant).</p>
	<p>3 marks</p> <p>Some impacts of the mining industry are discussed.</p> <p>Very little evaluation.</p> <p>May include visuals.</p>
	<p>2 marks</p> <p>Some impacts mentioned.</p> <p>May not include visuals, or they may be copied, or not relevant.</p>
	<p>1 mark</p> <p>Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.</p>
	<p>0 mark</p> <p>Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.</p>
<p>Codes</p> <p>CE = Critical evaluation</p> <p>D = Diagram</p> <p>Di = Diagram incorporated</p> <p>O = Other</p> <p>P / PL = People / Places</p> <p>R = Resources</p>	

Question	Expectations
TWO	Who should benefit from mining
Outstanding Performance Performance Descriptor 1	8 marks Discusses, with reference to a range of different perspectives, who should benefit from mining. The candidate may consider this question from a global scale to a national, regional, or even an individual scale. Clearly identifies a range of different perspectives and integrates these. Refers to and incorporates specific evidence from the resource booklet and from case studies. Includes appropriate and effective visuals communicated / used in a sophisticated way by integrating the information. Visuals may be adapted or original. Response demonstrates ALL of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sophisticated integration and abstraction • perception and insight • convincing communication.
	7 marks Response demonstrates most of the requirements of Descriptor 1 for 8 marks as above, <u>but</u> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity or <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not revolve around a critical evaluation consistently or <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not fully integrate.
Scholarship Performance Descriptor 2	6 marks Discusses, with reference to some perspectives, who should benefit from mining. The candidate may consider this question from a global scale to a national, regional, or even an individual scale. Clearly identifies some perspectives and integrates these. Refers to and incorporates specific evidence from the resource booklet and from case studies. Includes appropriate visuals and refers to them. Visuals may be adapted or original. Response demonstrates ALL of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • analysis and critical thinking • integration and application of a range of ideas and perspectives (from provided materials or case study) • logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.
	5 marks Response demonstrates most of the requirements of Descriptor 2 for 6 marks as above, <u>but</u> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity or <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not refer to appropriate visuals, or none included.

Performance Descriptor 3	<p>4 marks</p> <p>Discusses who should benefit from mining. The candidate may consider this question from a global scale to a national, regional, or even an individual scale.</p> <p>A perspective is included. (This could be the candidate’s perspective as seen through the effective use of the word “should”).</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence from the resource material.</p> <p>Includes visuals (these may not be relevant).</p>
	<p>3 marks</p> <p>Discusses who should benefit from mining. The candidate may consider this question from a global scale to a national, regional, or even an individual scale.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence from the resource material.</p> <p>May include visuals.</p>
	<p>2 marks</p> <p>Some discussion of the idea of who should benefit from mining.</p> <p>May not include visuals, or they may be copied, or not relevant.</p>
	<p>1 mark</p> <p>Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.</p>
	<p>0 mark</p> <p>Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.</p>
<p>Codes</p> <p>A = Argument</p> <p>D = Diagram</p> <p>Di = Diagram incorporated</p> <p>O = Other</p> <p>P = Perspective</p> <p>R = Resources</p>	

Question	Expectations
THREE	The future of the mining industry
	Justification requires the weighing-up of evidence, assessing validity, and making informed judgements.
Outstanding Performance Performance Descriptor 1	8 marks Critically analyses and discusses the future of the mining industry across the globe. Justification of the opinion is backed up with evidence, is clear and well argued. Refers to and incorporates specific evidence from named parts of the world, and from case studies. Response demonstrates ALL of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sophisticated integration and abstraction • perception and insight • convincing communication.
	7 marks Response demonstrates most of the requirements of Descriptor 1 for 8 marks as above, <u>but</u> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity or <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not revolve around justifying or analysing consistently or <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not fully integrate.
Scholarship Performance Descriptor 2	6 marks Analyses and discusses the future of the mining industry across the globe. Justification of the opinion is backed up with evidence. Refers to and incorporates specific evidence from named parts of the world and from case studies (may only be evidence from beyond the resource booklet). Response demonstrates ALL of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • analysis and critical thinking • integration and application of a range of ideas and perspectives (from provided materials or case study) • logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.
	5 marks Response demonstrates most of the requirements of Descriptor 2 for 6 marks as above, <u>but</u> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity.
Performance Descriptor 3	4 marks Some discussion of the future of the mining industry across the globe. Some justification. Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence from the resource material.
	3 marks Some discussion of the idea of the future of the mining industry (mainly descriptive). Very little, or no reference to evidence.
	2 marks Some discussion of the idea of the future of the mining industry (descriptive).
	1 mark Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.

	0 mark
--	---------------

	Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.
--	--

Codes**CA** = Critical analysis**J** = Justification**O** = Other**R** = Resources