

Assessment Schedule – 2016**Scholarship Geography (93401)****Evidence**

Note: Material from beyond the resource booklet MUST be relevant to the question.

Question	Expectations
ONE	Significant factors causing migration
General Criteria	<p>Critical evaluation and justification requires the weighing-up of evidence, assessing validity, and making informed judgements.</p> <p>To be successful, the candidate needs to evaluate and justify throughout, i.e. the evaluation and justification need to be woven through and not just be dropped in as a conclusion.</p>
<p>Outstanding Scholarship</p> <p>Performance Descriptor 1</p>	<p>8 marks</p> <p>The candidate critically evaluates and justifies whether cultural OR natural factors are the more significant in causing global migration.</p> <p>Revolves around a “critical evaluation and justification approach”.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided, and from beyond.</p> <p>Includes appropriate and effective visuals communicated / used in a sophisticated way by integrating the information. Visuals MUST be original. These must be referred to.</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sophisticated integration and abstraction • perception and insight • convincing communication.
	<p>7 marks</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 1 for 8 marks as above, <u>but</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts or lack clarity <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not revolve around evaluation and justification consistently <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may not use effective visuals, but these must be referred to.
<p>Scholarship</p> <p>Performance Descriptor 2</p>	<p>6 marks</p> <p>The candidate critically evaluates and justifies whether cultural OR natural factors are the more significant in causing global migration.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument.</p> <p>Includes appropriate visuals. Visuals MUST be original. These must be referred to.</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • analysis and critical thinking • integration and application of a range of ideas • logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.
	<p>5 marks</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 2 for 6 marks as above, <u>but</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts or lack clarity <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not revolve around critical evaluation and justifications.

Performance Descriptor 3	4 marks The candidate critically evaluates and justifies whether cultural OR natural factors are the more significant in causing global migration. Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence. Includes relevant visuals.
	3 marks Some critical evaluation and justification whether cultural OR natural factors are the more significant in causing global migration. Very little depth. May include visuals.
	2 marks Some evaluation and justification mentioned and explained. May not include visuals, or they may be copied, or not relevant.
	1 mark Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.
	0 mark Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.

Question	Expectations
TWO	Advantages and disadvantages of migration
General Criteria	<p>Critical analysis requires the weighing-up of evidence, assessing validity, and making informed judgements.</p> <p>To be successful, the candidate needs to include critical analysis throughout, i.e. the analysis needs to be woven through and not just be dropped in as a conclusion.</p> <p>There is a wide range of possible responses.</p>
<p>Outstanding Scholarship</p> <p>Performance Descriptor 1</p>	<p>8 marks</p> <p>The candidate critically analyses, from a range of different perspectives, both the positive and negative implications of migration.</p> <p>Clearly identifies a range of different perspectives, by providing specific examples and integrating them.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided, and from beyond.</p> <p>Includes appropriate and effective visuals communicated / used in a sophisticated way by integrating the information. Visuals MUST be original. These must be referred to.</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sophisticated integration and abstraction • perception and insight • convincing communication.
	<p>7 marks</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 1 for 8 marks as above, <u>but</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not fully integrate all the perspectives.
<p>Scholarship</p> <p>Performance Descriptor 2</p>	<p>6 marks</p> <p>The candidate critically analyses, with reference to some perspectives, both the positive and negative implications of migration.</p> <p>Clearly identifies some perspectives.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument.</p> <p>Includes appropriate visuals. Visuals MUST be original.</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • integration and application of a range of ideas and perspectives (from provided materials or case study) • logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.
	<p>5 marks</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 2 for 6 marks as above, <u>but</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not clearly identify perspectives.
<p>Performance Descriptor 3</p>	<p>4 marks</p> <p>The candidate critically analyses both the positive and negative implications of migration.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.</p> <p>Includes relevant visuals.</p>

	<p>3 marks Critically analyses the positive and / or negative implications of migration. Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence. May include visuals.</p>
	<p>2 marks Some critical analysis of the positive and / or negative implications of migration. May not include visuals, or they may be copied, or not relevant.</p>
	<p>1 mark Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.</p>
	<p>0 mark Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.</p>

Question	Expectations
THREE	The future of migration
General Criteria	<p>Discussion requires the weighing-up of evidence, assessing validity, and making informed judgements.</p> <p>The candidate discusses the future of migration, by presenting a range of information supported by specific content that leads to a balanced and well-debated, or argued response. There is a wide range of possible responses.</p>
<p>Outstanding Scholarship</p> <p>Performance Descriptor 1</p>	<p>8 marks</p> <p>The candidate discusses the future of migration.</p> <p>The candidate's opinion is backed up with evidence, which is clear and well-argued.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided, and from beyond.</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sophisticated integration and abstraction • perception and insight • convincing communication.
	<p>7 marks</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 1 for 8 marks as above, <u>but</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity.
<p>Scholarship</p> <p>Performance Descriptor 2</p>	<p>6 marks</p> <p>The candidate discusses the future of migration.</p> <p>The candidate's opinion is backed up with evidence.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument.</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • analysis and critical thinking • integration and application of a range of ideas and perspectives • logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.
	<p>5 marks</p> <p>Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 2 for 6 marks as above, <u>but</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not integrate ideas well <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not revolve around a discussion.
<p>Performance Descriptor 3</p>	<p>4 marks</p> <p>The candidate provides some discussion of the future of migration.</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.</p>
	<p>3 marks</p> <p>Some discussion of the future of migration (mainly descriptive).</p> <p>Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.</p>
	<p>2 marks</p> <p>Some discussion of the future of migration (descriptive).</p>
	<p>1 mark</p> <p>Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.</p>
	<p>0 mark</p> <p>Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.</p>